INFORMATION SHEET

DETERMINATIONS OF NO JURISDICTION FOR ISOLATED, NON-NAVIGABLE, INTRA-STATE WATERS RESULTING FROM U.S. SUPREME COURT DECISION IN SOLID WASTE AGENCY OF NORTHERN COOK COUNTY V. U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS

| DISTRICT OFFICE: FILE NUMBER: PROJECT MANAG PROJECT REVIEW | MVP ER: Dale | | -DJP OMPLETE | ED: In the | ne office? Y | \boxtimes | | Date | e:1/17/2007 | , | |
|---|-----------------|--------------|-----------------|---------------|------------------|-------------|-------------------|---------------------|--------------|------------------|--|
| PROJECT LOCATION State: WI | | MATION: | A | it the projec | t site? | Ш | N | | ÷: | | |
| County: Wa | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Center coor | | | | | 569515416N | | 465609 | 94246W | | | |
| * * | | | _ | | cres: 1.5 Acre | es | | | | | |
| Name of wa Type of Aquatic | 0-1 ac | 1-3 ac | 3-5 ac | 5-10 ac | 10-25 | 25 | -50 | > 50 ac | Linear | Unknown | |
| Resource1: | 0-1 ac | 1-3 ac | 5-5 ac | 3-10 ac | ac ac | ac | -30 | > 30 ac | Ft | Ulikilowii | |
| Lake | | | | | | | | | | | |
| River | | | | | | - | | | | | |
| Stream | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Mudflat | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Sandflat | | 1.0 | | | | | | | | | |
| Wetlands | | 1.3 | | | | - | | | | | |
| Slough | | | | | | - | | | | | |
| Prairie Pothole | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Wet Meadow | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Playa Lake | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Vernal Pool | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Natural Pond | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Other Water (identify type) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1Check appropriate boxes turisdictional aquatic resou | | cribe type o | f isolated, 1 | non-navigab | ole, intra-state | wate | er prese | ent and best | estimate for | or size of non- | |
| Migratory Bird Rule Factors1 | | | If Known | | If Unknown | | | | | | |
| 6 ···· J = | | | | | | | | ofessional Judgment | | | |
| | | | | | Predicted | | Not | | | Not Able to Make | |
| | | | Yes | No | to Occur | | Expected to Occur | | Determ | Determination | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Is or would be used as habitat for birds protected by Migratory Bird Treaties? | | | | | X | | | | | | |
| Is or would be used as habitat by other migratory birds that cross state lines? | | | | | X | | | | | | |
| Is or would be used as habitat for endangered species? | | | | | | | X | | | | |
| Is used to irrigate crops sold in interstate commerce? | | | | | | | X | | | | |
| 1Check appropriate boxes t | that best des | cribe potent | ial for appl | licability of | the Migratory | y Biro | Rule | to apply to | onsite, non | -jurisdictional, | |
| isolated, non-navigable, int | | - | | | | , | | | | | |
| TYPE OF DETERMINAT | TION: | Prelimin | ary [| Or | Approve | ed: | \boxtimes |] | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |

FILE NUMBER: MVP-2006-6357-DJP

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION SUPPORTING njd (e.g., paragraph 1 site conditions; paragraphs 2-3 rationale used to determine NJD, including information reviewed to assess potential navigation or interstate commerce connections; and paragraph 4 site information on waters of the U.S. occurring onsite): A wetland delineation was received at the Waukesha office for review and concurrence.

The wetland delineation identified 1 wetland complex with a surface area of approximately 1.3 acres on the 1.5 acre parcel. A review of the aerial photography and the local topographic mapping available on the Waukesha County IMS indicate that the subject wetland lacks a surface water connection or adjacency to a water of the US and is therefore, isolated on the landscape. Connections between the wetland and interstate commerce were also considered but could not be identified. Therefore, the subject wetland is an isolated waterbody that would not be subject to Corps Section 404 jurisdiction.